City of Las Vegas

AGENDA MEMO

PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING DATE: JANUARY 10, 2008

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

ITEM DESCRIPTION: VAR-25642 - APPLICANT/OWNER: CHARLESTON AND

JONES, LLC

** CONDITIONS **

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: DENIAL. If Approved, subject to:

Planning and Development

- 1. Any decorative materials or ironwork attached to the top of a perimeter wall shall not encroach into pubic right-of-way or abutting properties.
- 2. This approval shall be void one year from the date of final approval, unless upon approval of a final inspection. An Extension of Time may be filed for consideration by the City of Las Vegas.

** STAFF REPORT **

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This is a request for a Variance to allow an existing 10.67-foot wall, where ten feet is allowed. This project is located at 5905 West Charleston Boulevard and is known as Desert Shadows Condominiums. Originally, a perimeter wall was permitted, but the applicant installed a rod-iron security feature. This security feature was built without permits and is over the allowed height of ten feet. This Variance request is a 6.7% deviation from Title 19 requirements. Due to the self-imposed hardship created by adding this security feature, staff recommends denial of this request.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Related Relevan	t City Actions by P&D, Fire, Bldg., etc.
09/04/02	The City Council approved a General Plan Amendment (GPA-0014-02) from
	SC (Service Commercial) to M (Medium Density Residential). The City
	Council approved a Rezoning (Z-0037-02) from R-E (Residence Estates) and
	C-2 (General Commercial) to R-3 (Medium Density Residential). The City
	Council approved a Variance (V-0041-02) to allow apartment buildings with
	three stories and 38 feet tall where two stories and 35 feet are the maximum
	height allowed. The City Council approved a Site Development Plan Review
	[Z-0037-02(1)] for a 192-unit three-story, apartment complex. The Planning
	Commission and staff recommended approval of these requests.
10/06/04	The City Council approved an Extension of Time (EOT-5144) of Rezoning Z-
	0037-02; an Extension of Time (EOT-5146) of Site Development Plan
	Review Z-0037-02(1); and an Extension of Time (EOT-5147) of Variance (V-
	0041-02). Staff recommended approval of these requests.
12/06/06	The City Council approved a Site Development Plan Review (SDR-16919)
	and a Waiver of the Perimeter Landscape Buffering Requirement for the
	conversion of 192-unit apartment complex to a condominium development.
0.4.4.4.10.7	The Planning Commission and staff recommended approval of this request.
01/11/07	The Planning Commission approved a Tentative Map (TMP-18269) for a
	192-unit condominium development. Staff recommended approval of this
05/11/05	request.
05/11/07	A Final Map (FMP-19063) was recorded for a 192-unit condominium
DI. ID III	development.
	g Permits/Business Licenses
05/31/06	A building permit (62126) was issued for a perimeter Wall Fence for the east,
	west, and south property lines.
0.640.440.	A building permit (90926) was issued for a Wall Fence on the north property
06/01/07	line with a total height of 7.33 feet.

ED

Pre-Application Meeting		
11/09/07	A Pre-Application meeting was held to discuss the requirements of submitting	
	a Variance for wall height. The applicant was told that any ironwork attached	
	to the top of a perimeter wall shall not encroach into pubic right-of-way or	
	abutting properties.	
Neighborhood Meeting		
A neighborhood meeting is not required for this application, nor was one held.		

Details of Application Request	
Site Area	
Gross Acres	7.39

Surrounding Property	Existing Land Use	Planned Land Use	Existing Zoning
			R-3 (Medium Density
Subject Property	Condominiums	M (Medium Density)	Residential)
		SC (Service	C-1 (Limited
North	Commercial	Commercial)	Commercial)
		SC (Service	
	Undeveloped and	Commercial) and DR	
	Single Family	(Desert Rural Density	Undeveloped, R-E
South	Residences	Residential)	(Residence Estates)
		SC (Service	C-1 (Limited
East	Commercial	Commercial	Commercial)
		SC (Service	C-1 (Limited
West	Commercial	Commercial)	Commercial)

Special Districts/Zones	Yes	No	Compliance
Special Area Plan		X	N/A
Special Districts/Zones	Yes	No	Compliance
Special Purpose and Overlay Districts			
A-O Airport Overlay District (200 Feet)	X		Yes
Trails		X	No
Rural Preservation Overlay District		X	No
Development Impact Notification Assessment		X	No
Project of Regional Significance		X	No

DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

Pursuant to Title 19.08, the following development standards apply:

Standard	Required/Allowed	Provided	Compliance
Min. Lot Size	6,500 SF	322,812 SF	Yes
Min. Lot Width	NA	538 Feet	Yes
Min. Setbacks			
• Front	20 Feet	20 Feet	Yes
• Side	5 Feet	5 Feet	
• Corner	5 Feet	5 Feet	
• Rear	20 Feet	20 Feet	
Min. Distance Between Buildings	10 Feet	25 Feet	Yes
		3 Stories/38	
Max. Building Height	3 Stories/38 Feet	Feet	Yes

ANALYSIS

This request for a Variance is to permit an existing wall height of 10.67-feet, where ten feet is allowed. Originally, a block wall was permitted with a maximum wall height of 9.67-feet. This originally approved wall was then modified with a rod-iron security feature, increasing the maximum height of this wall to 10.67 feet. This modification was done without permits and was discovered when this property was being inspected. The installation of a rod-iron security feature has created a self imposed hardship; staff recommends denial of this request.

FINDINGS

In accordance with the provisions of Title 19.18.070(B), Planning Commission and City Council, in considering the merits of a Variance request, shall not grant a Variance in order to:

- 1. Permit a use in a zoning district in which the use is not allowed;
- 2. Vary any minimum spacing requirement between uses;
- 3. Relieve a hardship which is solely personal, self-created or financial in nature."

Additionally, Title 19.18.070L states:

"Where by reason of exceptional narrowness, shallowness, or shape of a specific piece of property at the time of enactment of the regulation, or by reason of exceptional topographic conditions or other extraordinary and exceptional situation or condition of the piece of property, the strict application of any zoning regulation would result in peculiar and exceptional practical difficulties to, or exceptional and undue hardships upon, the owner of the property, a variance from that strict application may be granted so as to relieve the difficulties or hardship, if the relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, without substantial impairment of affected natural resources and without substantially impairing the intent and purpose of any ordinance or resolution."

VAR-25642 - Staff Report Page Four January 10, 2008 - Planning Commission Meeting

No evidence of a unique or extraordinary circumstance has been presented, in that the applicant has created a self-imposed hardship by adding a security feature on top of a permitted wall. Alternatively, not adding the security features would allow conformance to the Title 19 requirements. In view of the absence of any hardships imposed by the site's physical characteristics, it is concluded that the applicant's hardship is preferential in nature, and it is thereby outside the realm of NRS Chapter 278 for granting of Variances.

0

NEIGHBORHOOD ASSO	CIATIONS NOTIFIED
ASSEMBLY DISTRICT	3
SENATE DISTRICT	8
NOTICES MAILED	162
APPROVALS	0
<u>PROTESTS</u>	0